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## Cube Base



Cube Base

# Day 1- Understanding the Model 

"What's this thing all about?"

## General Overview of Modeling

- Types of Models
- Simple Trend
- Macroscopic Models (such as the NJ RTME)
- Operational Models



## NJ TPA Region Study Area



## The Basics

- Trip Generation- Who and Why Should I Go
- Considers the location of people and destination potential
- Households, employment, land use, activity centers
- Trip Distribution- Where to Go
- Considers the choices available to travelers and why they go to one vs. another
- Where is it and how much does it cost me to go to one vs. another?
- Mode Choice- By What Means to Go
- Considers the relative attractiveness of choices for various types of trip making
- To go from home to work, should I drive, walk, take the bus, take the train, etc
- Assignment- By What Route to Go
- Considers the best and alternate routes between the selected origin location and destination location now that I've selected a mode


## Traffic Analysis Zones

- A TAZ is a Unit of Geography Used to Forecast Trip Making
- Should be consistent (nested inside) network boundaries
- Should be consistent with model application
- Considerations
- Fine Enough to Forecast Traffic
- Course Enough to Get Data On
- Boundaries Typically Respect
- Manmade Features (Roads, RR, etc)

- Natural Features (Rivers, etc)
- Political Features (census, city, county, state)


## Standard Four-step Demand Forecasting Model



## NJ RTM-E Specific Examples

- Who \& Where are They- Zonal Data
- Where to Go- Trip Ends, Travel Time and Cost Matrices
- By What Means to Travel- Mode Choice Model, Costs and Congestion, Roadway Network, Transit Network
- Which Route to Take- Traffic Assignment, Transit Assignment, Feedback Loop


## Simple Trip Chain



## Simple Cross-Classification Technique



## NJ RTM-E Trip Generation

- Cross-classification
- Household Lifecycle Groups (3)
- With Retirees (at least 1)
- With Children
- Without Retirees or Children
- Household Income Groups (5)
- 0-15K
- 15-35K
- 35-75K
- 75-150K
- 150k+
- Workers Per Household (4)
- 0 Worker
- 1 Worker
- 2 Workers
- 3+Workers

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code |  | HBSH | HBO | HBU | NHNW |
| - | 1 | 0.56 | 0.76 | 0.01 | 0.49 |
|  | 2 | 1.34 | 1.19 | 0.01 | 0.65 |
|  | 3 | 1.55 | 1.67 | 0.16 | 0.65 |
|  | 4 | 1.55 | 3.57 | 0.04 | 0.63 |
|  | 5 | 1.65 | 4.52 | 0.33 | 0.7 |
|  | 6 | 2.17 | 7.33 | 0.33 | 1.29 |
|  | 7 | 0.6 | 1.17 | 0.01 | 0.84 |
|  | 8 | 1.4 | 2.02 | 0.01 | 0.91 |
|  | 9 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 0.14 | 0.75 |
|  | 10 | 1.5 | 3.75 | 0.04 | 0.75 |
|  | 11 | 0.7 | 4.75 | 0.23 | 0.75 |
|  | 12 | 2.35 | 8 | 0.23 | 1.3 |
|  | 13 | 0.65 | 1.52 | 0.01 | 1.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

An example of NJRTM-E trip rates

- Persons Per Household (6)
- 1 to 6+Persons


## NJ RTM-E Trip Purposes

- Trips are Classified Based on Whether they are Oriented Toward Home or Work:
- Home-Based Work Direct (from home to work)
- Home-Based Work Strategic (e.g., drop off kids, pick up coffee on the way)
- Home-Based Shopping
- Home-Based Other (e.g., Ieisure, visit family)
- Home-Based University
- Work-Based Other (e.g., to lunch, shopping)
- Non-Home Non-Work (all the rest - e. g. , from a store to school)
- Trucks


## Trip Distribution Example

## I. Trip Generation Estimates

| Zone | Production | Attraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100 | 250 |
| 2 | 200 | 300 |
| 3 | 300 | 50 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ |

## NJ RTM-E Distribution

- Direction and Magnitude of Travel



## Modes and Choices in NJ RTM-E

## Total Person Trips



## Assignment

- Given the Number of Trips by Mode have been Calculated, Assignment Puts those trips on Specific Routes (road, transit)
- Transit Assignment is a Function of the Best Choice
- Highway Assignment is a Function of the Best Choice
- Travel Time
- Toll Cost
- Congestion


## NJ RTM-E Assignment

- Highway Assignment
- Four Periods (AM/ PM Peak Periods, Midday, Night)
- Route Choice Assigns Nine Vehicle Type/ Path Conditions:
- SOV, HOV, Truck
- NonToll, Cash Toll, ETC Toll
- Sensitive to Directional Toll biases
- Assignment Options:
- Standard BPR
- 2000 HCM \& Simple Queuing
- Akcelik Method
- Detailed HCM Method

NJ RTM-E Assignment

AM Peak Vehicle Trips by Purpose Validation Year


## NJ RTM-E Transit Assignment

- Results for Modes, Lines or Stops
- Information Available About
- Ridership
- Revenues
- Passenger Miles of Travel
- Vehicle Fleet Requirements

Train Ridership Comparison

|  | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bay Head | 885 | 889 | 1.00 |
| Pt Pleasant Beach | 2,376 | 2,372 | 1.00 |
| Manasquan | 1,811 | 1,814 | 1.00 |
| Spring Lake | 1,867 | 1,872 | 1.00 |
| Belmar | 1,083 | 1,086 | 1.00 |
| Bradley Beach | 1,799 | 1,804 | 1.00 |
| Asbury Park | 1,784 | 1,786 | 1.00 |
| Allenhurst | 294 | 294 | 1.00 |
| Elberon | 1,246 | 1,245 | 1.00 |
| SUBTOTAL | 13,145 | 13,162 | 1.00 |
|  | 4,592 | 4,598 | 1.00 |
| Long Branch | 4,615 | 4,603 | 1.00 |
| Little Silver | 4,340 | 4,337 | 1.00 |
| Red Bank | 6,953 | 6,967 | 1.00 |
| Middletown | 4,661 | 4,696 | 1.01 |
| Hazlet | 16,378 | 17,047 | 1.04 |
| Matawan | 4,914 | 5,813 | 1.18 |
| South Amboy | 3,123 | 3,125 | 1.00 |
| Perth Amboy | 3,550 | 3,556 | 1.00 |
| Woodbridge | 486 | 489 | 1.01 |
| Avenal | 53,612 | 55,231 | 1.03 |
| SUBTOTAL | 66,757 | 68,393 | 1.02 |
| GRAND TOTAL |  |  |  |

## NJTRM-E "FLOWCHART"



## New Model Features \& Capabilities

- Incorporation of NJ Transit Mode Choice Model
- Nested Logit Structure
- 6 Line-haul Modes / 2 access modes
- Geographic Market Segmentation
- Area / Density Related
- Mode Choice by Purpose segmented into Peak and Off-Peak


## NJ RTM-E Airport Submodel

- Focused on Predicting Trips to Newark International Airport
- Four Purposes:
- Business Trip from Residents
- Business Trip from Non-Residents
- Non-Business Trip from Residents
- Non-Business Trip from Non-Residents
- Model Estimated using NJ T trip tables derived from Survey Data
- Structured as linear equation trips using the following variables:
- Population and Employment
- Income
- Distance to Newark Airport
- Distance to nearest competing Airport (J FK, Laguardia, Philadelphia, Lehigh Valley)
- $R^{2}$ Ranges between 0.75 and 0.84


## Types of Demand Models

- Gaming/ Visioning
- Direct Demand
- Simple Four-step
- Complex Four-step
- Complex Four-step with Feedback (NJ RTME)
- Activity-based
- Integrated Transport/ Land Use Models


## TMIP



## Typical Applications of Models

- Long-Range Transportation Plans
- Air Quality Analysis
- Impact Analysis
- Local Traffic Studies
- AA/ EIS


Worth Jersey Trausportaion Plaming SAuthorito: The
THE NORTHERN NEW JERSEY AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY DETERMINATION

2005 Regional Transportation Plan Update and the FY 2006-2008 Iransportation Improvement Program for the NJTPA portions of
the New York-Northera New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT 8-hour Ozone Nonattainment Area,
the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City, PA-NJ-MD-DE
8-hour Ozone Nonattainment Area, and
the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT
and formerly not classified Carbon Monoxide Maintenance Areas


## Using the Tool Appropriately

- Understanding the Character of a Macroscopic Model
- Understanding the Limitations of Each Type of Model
- Trend
- Macroscopic/ Demand
- Microscopic/ Operational
- Understanding the Causes of Model "Error"
- Averages
- Error Terms
- Compensating for Errors and Unknowns



## The NJ RTM-E as Part of a Toolbox

- Finding the Most Appropriate Tool to Answer the Question
- Typical "Short-range" Planning Tools
" Typical "Long-range" Planning Tools



## Caveats

- The NJ RTM-E Model Is A Tool
- Based on Average Human Behavioral Characteristics and Responses and the Transport System's Characteristics
- Remember, the AVERAGE family has 2.5 kids (none do)
- For Every Average (mean), there is a standard deviation
- The NJ RTM-E is Built Upon the Most Recent AVAILABLE Data (some data are dated and some data are not available locally)
- Detailed Studies (FTA New Starts, Corridor Studies, Impact Assessment, etc) Should ALWAYS review the Model Data, Assumptions and Results and TAILOR the Tool to Fit the Conditions/ Needs of the Study
- The NJ RTM-E is now being reviewed by NJ Transit and has not yet been approved for use with proj ect-level planning studies in the highdensity urbanized areas.


## Data Behind the NJ RTM-E

- Socio-economic
- Households by Lifestyle, Income and Persons
- Employment by Type
- Truck Terminals
- University Enrollment
- Special Generators
- Etc.
- Network
- Facility Type
- Lanes
- Transit Services
- Etc.
- Behavioral
- Parameters, rates, coefficients, etc.



## Navigating the NJ RTM-E

- Starting Up Cube
- Basic Navigation of the Desktop
- Running the Model
- Finding Results


## Translating Model Outputs to Recommendations

- Understanding Model Results
- Land Use / Trip Generation
- Distribution
- Mode Choice
- Highway Assignment
- Transit Assignment
- Taking Raw Model Outputs to Final Numbers
- Smoothing Techniques
- Level of Service
- Presenting Model Results
- Tabular Summaries
- Charts, Figures and Graphical Summaries
- Mapping Summaries


Trip Length Frequency versus Time : Work



Cube Base: build, edit, run, present


A common user interface for all Citilabs libraries. Learn this once and you can use all existing and future libraries

## Application Manager-

 Flowchart provides extremely easy to use model interface for building, running and documentationCube Graphics- Provides unlimited layering, signing, intersection coding and analysis, network editing and analysis, charting, links to digital media
Scenario Manager- Makes creating, managing and running scenarios very easy to do

## Network Editing: Add Links

- Browse and open a network
- View Center on Node 8482 with Scale -0. 15
- Select Post, All Nodes from Main Menu
- Select Link, Add Two-Way from Main Menu
- Position cross-hair over node, click then drag to the node 7304
- Hit the ESC key and select the new link to view/ edit its attributes



## Network Editing: Add Links

- Right click on link 8482-7304 and select delete
- Add link 8482-7304 again using Copy and Paste

- Copy from link 26961-8482
- When you select Paste the cross-hair will appear.
- Position cross-hair over node 8482. Click, drag and release over node 7304. New Link is Pasted.
- Hit the ESC key and select the new link to view/ edit its attributes


## Network Editing: Adding Attributes and Calculations

- Select Link, Attribute, Add from the Main Menu
- Add a link attribute called: TEST
- Type is Numeric
- Select Link, Compute from Main Menu



## Visual Comparisons

- Saving a common View
- Restore a common view across multiple networks



## Network Editing: Adding Attributes and Calculations

- In computation area Right Click and select Insert
- Enter equation TEST=CAPACITY*1. 1 and select OK
- Accept other defaults and select Apply
- Browse link attributes to verify computations
- Computation Sets



## Network Editing: Adding Attributes and Calculations

- Using Conditions with Calculations
- Select Link, Compute again
- Double click your equation to edit and set TEST=0
- Right click in the blank Condition box and set up a condition to apply the calculation only for Centroids (_CENTROID=1)
- Select Apply
- Browse link attributes to verify computations



## Network Editing: Polygons

- Select Polygon, New from the Main Menu and draw a Polygon by point-and-click.
- Select Polygon, Save, 1=from the Menu and name this Polygon 'Area 51'
- Add link attributes ORIGCAP and DIFF
- Compute ORIGCAP=CAPACITY
- Subtract 700 from CAPACITY for all links within the polygon
- Check and validate that it has worked:
- Compute DIFF=CAPACITY-ORIGCAP
- Post DIFF



## Desire Lines

- Close all open files. Open c:\newmod\newmod1.cat
- Double click on the NJ RTM Enhanced Model in the Applications area
- Double click on the HBWDMSA Trip Table
- Window back to the application and Double Click on the HW Intersections
- Select Node, Link to Matrix and add the matrix from the Available to Current Linkage
- Select Post, Desire lines. Display trips from Table 4, Zone 1156 to all other zones (12553)



## Node Charts

- Select Post, Clear All Postings
- Select Post, Node/ Point Chart and Node Chart dialog settings as show on the next page
- Select Ok to view.
- Select View, Legend to see the Link and Node Legend




## Path Building, Display \& Analysis

- Opening the Path from Assignment
- Selected Zone Display
- Selected Link Display
- Multi-stop routing Display and Analysis
- On screen path building and display
- Isochrone display



## On Screen Paths

- Select Path, Build from the Main menu to open the Path Cost Calculation dialog box



## On Screen Paths

- COST - Measure of any attribute along the path between the origin and destination.
- Cube finds the least "COST" path
- Attributes can be functions of or combinations of link attributes
- Distance
- Time
- Impacted Population
- Penalties, Prohibitions and other Restrictions are considered


## On Screen Paths

- Cube allows for interactive path building and display with user defined cost specifications
- Cost specifications can be built using available network attributes, Turning restrictions or penalties, and incremental cost values
- Right click in the blank Specifications area and select Distance
- Path Building dialog opens with zone one pre-selected



## On Screen Paths

- Set Origin to 1 and Destination to 1501, minimum distance path is built and displayed
- Check List Path Traces and click Display
- Continue to click additional destinations for multiple paths
- Select Clear and Close



## On Screen Paths:

## Example-Minimum Population Exposure

- Example: Minimum Population Exposure
- Set up a link color set to display the link attribute AreaType
- Make sure the centroids can be identified
- Add a new link attribute: POPULATION
- Compute a value for POPULATION based on Area type
- 1=10000 persons per mile
- 2=1000 persons per mile
- 3=100 persons per mile
- POPULATION=DISTANCE*100 (for AreaType=3)


## On Screen Paths:

## Example-Minimum Population Exposure

- Now build Minimum Population Paths with Distance as the additional Trace attribute
- Select Origin as 1 and Destination as 17
- Select List Path Traces and Display
- The path that minimizes exposure to population is displayed along with the total population and distance on the path
- Close Path Building dialog



## Network Editing: Polygons

- Save and Restore
- Show selected Nodes/ Links
- SubArea Extraction
- Calculate Area and Centroid
- Copy from Boundary Layer
- Export to CubeDyansim



## Network Editing: Viewing Data

- Customized Data Views simplify editing
- From Edit Menu select Options
- Adjust display size settings and view
- Adj ust Default colors and styles and view
- Adj ust Parameters and view



## Network Editing: Viewing Data

- Define Color Specification for the Highway Layer
- Select the Link/ Line Color Icon
- On the Specification Dialog menu click on Insert 5 times
- Select the Color Palette: road-rand mcnally
- Use the Color/ Style, Size and Criteria settings to display links by FUNC_CLASS



## Network Editing: Viewing Data

- Posting Values and Saving Posting Sets
- Post zone numbers on the screen
- Post FUNC_CLASS, SPEED and WALKCOST on all links except zone centroid connectors
- Select Fix Color for each Posting and set rounding for WALKCOST to 0.1
- Name the Posting Set CLASS
- Zoom to view project area



## Network Editing: Link Updating

- Automatic link attribute updating with point-and-click
- Select Link, Compute
- Select an unused Set Number
- NAME=SETCLASS3
- Insert 3 expressions: FUNC_CLASS=3, NUMLANES=2, CAP=1800
- Applies To =When items changed
- Check Auto Calculation On and Apply
- Select Link Update
- Update a CLASS 5 corridor to CLASS 3 by point \& click with the Update pointer
- Save file as Project Def 1.net



# Day 2- How to Build Alternatives 

"What do I do before I even turn on the computer?"

## Obj ectives

- When to Use a Model
- Preparing for the Use of the Model
- Applying the Model
- Evaluating the Results
- Summarizing / Communicating Findings


## Model Utilization Criteria

- Governed by Several Conditions
- Level of Analysis
- Appropriate Tool for Analysis Scale?
- Adequate Resources / Schedule?
- Model Structure
- Sensitive to Policy Issues
- Calibration Status
- "Forecast-able" Variables?
- Number of Alternatives
- Efficiency vs. Cost
- Regulatory Requirements


## Preparing to Use the Model

- Does the Model Adequately Reflect Existing Travel Patterns?
- How Will the Model Reflect the Proposed Scenario?
- Network parameters
- Socio-economic data
- Behavioral Assumptions (unlikely but possible)
- What Horizon Year is Appropriate?
- What are the Model's Data/ Conditions for that Year?
- What Plans or Specifications are Available?
- Specific development plans
- Committed Infrastructure Improvements
- What Agencies Have J urisdiction?


## Applying the Model

- Does the scenario explore immediate or long-term impacts?
- Immediate Impacts - Use "Fixed Distribution" Option
- Long Term Impacts - Use Full Feedback Model
- Fixed Distribution Process
- Holds Trip Distribution Patterns Constants
- Permits variation in Mode Choice
- Permits variation in Highway/ Transit Assignments
- Full Feedback Model
- Assumes that trip distribution can change in response to network/ service modifications


## Evaluating Results

- Was the model reaction expected?
- Reasonable Sensitivity
- Reasonable Influence Area
- Investigation of Counterintuitive Results
- What is the model trying to indicate?
- First Order/ Second Order impacts?
- Minor / Acceptable Variation?
- Model Adj ustments / Further Analysis
- Applying Constraints
- Other Refinements


## Summarizing Results

- Characteristics of Target Audience
- Public Officials / Stakeholders?
- Detailed Technical Review?
- Proper Mix of Graphical and Numerical Summaries
- Documentation Formats
- Internal Summaries
- Project Team (Client / Consultants)
- Controlled Release
- Public Documents
- Client and Public
- Unrestricted Release


## Case Study Design

- Step 1 - Project Description / Analysis Issues
- Step 2- Edit and Execute Model
- Step 3- Evaluate / Summarize Outputs


## Case Study Criteria

- Hypothetical Examples
- Similar to Potential Projects
- Relevant to NJ TPA Region
- Consistent with typical assignments
- Development / Redevelopment Situations
- Transportation Network
- Refinements/ Optimization
- Not Large Infrastructure Scenarios
- Provide Variety of Editing Tasks
- Both Network and Socioeconomic Data
- Variety of Adj ustments


## Data Sources to Support Alternative Development

- Local
- Local Land Use Plans
- Traffic Studies
- Traffic Counts
- Regional
- Traffic Counts / Trends
- Transit Ridership
- State
- Highway and Transit Plans
- Traffic Counts
- National
- Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Handbook
- Highway Capacity Manual (HCM)

Case Study \#1- "Smart Growth"

- Approach to Alternative Development- Discussion
- Implementation


## Scenario General Description

- Location- J FK Blvd in Bayonne
- Nature of Proposed Development
- Redevelopment
- Change from Residential Only to Mixed Land Use
- Increase in Density
- Proposed Infrastructure Changes
- Increase Transit Services
- Pedestrian "Friendly" Design
- Lanes Reduction on J FK Boulevard
- On-street Parking
- Goal of Project is Economic Redevelopment and a "Green" community


## Considerations

- Does the MPO model reflect this development already?
- What is planned precisely? How much development?
- What types of households and businesses will be in the development?
- When is this proposed to be built? Is there staging?
- What model year data sets are available? Interpolation?
- If this area gets new households and jobs, where might they be coming from? Will they add to the regional total or reallocate it?
- What transit services are already available in the area?
- What parking policies are reflected in the MPO model? On the ground?
- How does this proposed development fit into the current plans for the area- city, county, MPO, DOT, NJ T, etc. ?
- Are there other such developments in NJ that can be examined for data, results?


## Potential Data Sources

- Existing Conditions
- Existing Traffic Counts (Car, Pedestrian, Transit)
- Current Land Use Inventory- Property Appraiser
- Field Review
- Plans
- Developers' Plans
- TIP/LRTP
- County Master Plans
- Comparisons
- NJ RTM-E Model Data
- ITE Trip Generation
- New J ersey Office and Planning and Growth
- ULI
- HCM
- Other Local/ State Case Studies/ Examples of Similar Development


## Model Inputs- Technical Specs

- Demographics
- Zone 644
- Households=1000
- Average Income of \$100,000
- $50 \%$ No Children, $40 \%$ Retirees, $10 \%$ Working w/ Children
- Population 2112
- 2500 Employees- All retail
- Zone 646
- Households=3500
- Average Income of $\$ 80,000$
- $45 \%$ No Children, $25 \%$ Retirees, $30 \%$ Working w/ Children
- Population- 8,000
- 800 Employees- All Office


## Model Inputs- Technical Specs (continued)

- Demographics
- Zone 649
- Households=500
- Average Income of \$50,000
- 100\%Retirees
- Population- 700
- 500 Employees- All Medical
- Zone 654
- Households $=500$
- Average Income of \$150,000
- $25 \%$ WNC, $50 \%$ Retirees, $25 \%$ WWV
- Population- 1172
- 800 Employees- 50\%Retail, 50\%Government


## Model Inputs- Technical Specs (continued)

- Infrastructure Changes
- Roadway Changes J FK Blvd from the Turnpike Extension to NJ 440
- Subtract a Iane (Change LANESAM, LANESPM, LANESOP Variable to 1)
- Permit Parking (Change PARK Variable on Network to 1)
- Change Facility Type (Change FT Variable on Network to 7)
- Transit Changes- Revise Headway on J FK Routes
- Route 99A1- Frequency[1]=5, Frequency[2]=10
- Route 33A1- Frequency[1]=10, Frequency[2]=20
- Route SAP12- No Changes
- Pedestrian Changes- None for this test


## How to Implement

- Model Inputs Effected
- NJ SEVAOO.DBF
- HWYBU.NET
- BUSLINES.DAT
- Interactive Exercises to Update Model Inputs
- Start Cube
- Click "Cancel" On Intro Screen
- Click "FILE/ OPEN", change the type to Catalog *. CAT
- Browse to and Open c: \newmod\NEWMOD1. CAT


## How to Implement (continued)

- Copy c:\newmod\modeldata\20VAN directory to c:\newmode\modeldata\20SMARTN
- Copy c:\newmod\modeldata\20VAZ directory to c:\newmode\modeldata\20SMARTZ
- Create "SMART20" scenario in Scenario Manager under "BASE"
- Select the Network (N) and Zonal Data (Z) directories for the smart growth scenario in the Scenario Manager dialog box
- Now it is time to edit the inputs!!!


## Editing Zonal Data Attributes

- Double-click on the NJ RTM Enhanced Model Application in the "Applications" Pane
- Drill down through TRIP GENERATION and SOCIOECONOMIC data
- Double-click on the SE DATA input to box 2
- Make Changes
- Double-click on the LKUP LIFECYCLE input to box 2
- Make Changes


## Editing Highway Network Attributes

- Double-click on the HIGHWAY NETWORK box

- Post NAME and LANESAM
- Update J.F. Kennedy Blvd attributes from node 9890 to 9891
- FT
- LANESAM
- LANESPM
- LANESOP
- PARK


## Editing Transit Network Attributes

- Make the TRANSIT LAYER the working (top most) layer
- Click anywhere inside the polygon on Kennedy Blvd and select RT99A1
- Update Headways
- Repeat for RT33A1 and SAP12
- Go to TRANSIT/TRANSIT LINE MANAGER in menu and save edits back to file



## Running the Model

- Normally you would click on the Scenario Manager pane, select the scenario and then run it.
- We're going to do a TV version of cooking and look at the results tomorrow


## Case Study \#2- Impact Assessment

- Approach to Alternative Development- Discussion
- Implementation


## Scenario General Description

- Location- Far Hills Shopping and Office Complex
- Nature of Proposed Development
- New Development
- Shopping Complex and Office Park
- Proposed Infrastructure Changes
- Integrated Transit Services w/ PnR and Access to Rail Service
- Reroute Express Busses to PnR
- Add New Rail Line
- Changes in Roadway Access
- Developer to Pay "Fair Share" of Necessary Improvements


## Additional Considerations from Previous Case Study

- What types of businesses and number of employees will be in the devel opment?
- What local access/ site circulation plan has been proposed by the devel oper?
- What additional local access/ network coding is needed to best meet the needs of this development?
- What other facilities will the traffic from this development impact? How can these be mitigated?
- How should transit services be realigned to service this area?
- What are the characteristics of the PnR to be added?
- How do we assess the cost to the developer?


## Potential Data Sources

- Existing Conditions
- Existing Traffic Counts (Car, Pedestrian, Transit)
- Current LOS/ CMS
- Current Land Use Inventory
- Field Review
- Plans
- Developers' Plans
- TIP/ LRTP
- County Master Plans
- Comparisons
- NJ RTM-E Model Data
- ITE Trip Generation
- HCM


## Model Inputs- Technical Specs

- Demographics
- Zone 1610, Add 300 Retail J obs and 1000 Office Employees
- Infrastructure Changes
- Roadway- local widenings
- Add 1 lane to Peapack to nearest intersections
- Add 1 lane to Mine Brook/ Main to nearest intersections
- Transit
- Add New "Far Hills" Rail Line- Copy from GLD01 but truncate at node 20248 with a frequency of 15/ 30
- Reroute Express Bus TB1A1 and TB3A1 to stop in the expanded PnR lot
- Update Park and Ride Lot with 500 new spaces and define catchment area
- Pedestrian- no changes


## Case Study \#3a- Maj or Infrastructure Changes

- Approach to Alternative Development- Discussion
- Implementation


## Scenario General Description

- Location- Raritan River Bridge Reconstruction
- Nature of Proposed Project
- Reduce Lanes on Garden State Parkway to Reflect Reconstruction of Bridge Spanning the Raritan River
- Use NJ 35 and US9 as Relief Routes
- Optimize Transit Service During Peak Periods
- Consider TDM Strategies- Toll Increases


## Additional Considerations from Previous Case Study

- Peak Period Traffic Flows (k/ d)
- Reliever Routes
- Traffic Diversion
- Number of Lanes and Lane Width Alternatives
- Transit can Provide some Relief via Increased Service Frequency
- TDM Possibilities- HOV Options, Toll Options


## Potential Data Sources

- Existing Conditions
- Existing Traffic Counts by 15 minute increment
- Transit Capacity Utilization
- Current LOS/ CMS
- Speed Study
- Toll Data
- Field Review
- Roadway Geometrics
- Plans
- MoTP
- Design Plans
- Signal Timing Plans


## Model Inputs- Technical Specs

- Demographics
- None
- Infrastructure Changes
- Roadway- Northbound
- 5223-26446, ADD 1 TO LANEAM, SUBTRACT 1 from LANEPM and LANEOP
- Lane Width reduces to 10 ', shoulder becomes substandard (0)
- Roadway- Southbound
- 26492-5224, SUBTRACT 1 FROM LANEAM AND LANEOP, ADD 1TO LANEPM
- Lane Width reduces to $\mathbf{1 0}^{\prime}$, shoulder becomes substandard (0)
- Transit
- Decrease AM Headway on Route 131A1 to 10 Minutes
- Decrease MD Headway on Route 137C2 to 20 Minutes
- Pedestrian- no changes



## Case Study \#3b- Minor Infrastructure Changes

- Approach to Alternative Development- Discussion
- Implementation


## Scenario General Description

- Location- Princeton
- Nature of Proposed Project
- Build the "little dig"- bury US206 through Princeton Borough, 6 lane controlled access
- Project limits are from US1 to North of CR518
- Remove Local Access Points, only arterial connections
- Brand New Shiny Green Space for the Lovely Coeds to throw Frisbees on during the Warm Summer Months


## Additional Considerations from Previous Case Study

- Development will be green space- no new business/ households allowed
- New facility will likely carry more traffic than current facility but should operate at a better LOS
- Coeds must play frisbee at least twice a week during commuting hours


## Potential Data Sources

- Existing Conditions
- Existing Traffic Counts (Car, Pedestrian, Transit)
- Current LOS/ CMS
- Current Land Use Inventory
- Field Review
- Plans
- TIP/ LRTP
- County Master Plans
- Comparisons
- This is a whole new one baby- fantasy project!


## Model Inputs- Technical Specs

- Demographics
- None
- Infrastructure Changes
- Roadway- facility upgrade and intersection removal
- Add a new Facility Type 2 within project limits=node 8109 southern terminus, node 8069 northern terminus, 6 lanes
- Downgrade existing RT206 within project limits to transit onlyTCODEAM $=9$, TCODEOP $=9$ - only busses can use those abandoned facilities
- Transit
- No changes except the highway coding
- Pedestrian- no changes


# Day 3- Hands-on Case Studies 

"Let's see what happened"

## Analysis, Findings and Recommendations

- Case Study 1- Smart Growth
- Case Study 2- Impact Assessment
- Case Study 3- Infrastructure Rehabilitation


## Case Studies

- Objective- Understanding Model-Based Planning Analysis
- Development- Utilizing the Model as part of the Analysis
- Application- Summarizing and Evaluating Model Results


## Types and Varieties of Outputs

- Translating Model Outputs to Meaningful Decision Making Results
- Summaries
- Tabular
- Graphical
- Map


## Summarizing Land Use Input/ Outputs

- Thematic Maps
- Tell a story with pictures and colors
- Commonly produced using GIS data



## Summarizing Land Use Inputs/ Outputs

- Pie Charts
- Typically show things as shares
- Can be scaled based on the size of the whole



## Summarizing Land Use Inputs/ Outputs

- Histograms
- Great for showing side by side comparisons of magnitude
- Commonly used for trip length


Trip Length Frequency versus Time : Work


Trip Length Frequency versus Time: School


## Summarizing Land Use Inputs/ Outputs

- Tables
- Convey Details with Rows/ Columns of Data
- Useful for absolute magnitudes

Transit Ridership Summary

| Rail/Ferry Services | Observed | Estimated | Diff | \% Diff |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Main/Bergen/Port Jervis Line | 22,380 | 26,192 | 3,812 | $17.0 \%$ |
| Pascack Valley Line | 7,018 | 3,352 | $-3,666$ | $-52.2 \%$ |
| Boonton Line | 9,824 | 14,620 | 4,796 | $48.8 \%$ |
| Morris/Essex Line | 40,250 | 30,904 | $-9,346$ | $-23.2 \%$ |
| Raritan Valley Line | 18,070 | 16,556 | $-1,514$ | $-8.4 \%$ |
| North Jersey Coastline/Northeast Corridor Line | 106,052 | 94,154 | $-11,898$ | $-11.2 \%$ |
| Metro North Trips from West of Hudson Locations | 5,248 | 3,224 | $-2,024$ | $-38.6 \%$ |
| Total Rail Service | 208,842 | 189,002 | $-19,840$ | $-9.5 \%$ |
| PATH | 500,532 | 519,082 | 18,550 | $3.7 \%$ |
| Newark City Subway Line | 36,232 | 30,385 | $-5,847$ | $-16.1 \%$ |
| Hudson-Bergen LRT | 22,000 | 31,838 | 17,580 | $123.3 \%$ |
| NJ Ferry Service | 23,097 | 14,838 | $-8,259$ | $-35.8 \%$ |
| Total | 790,703 | 785,145 | $-5,558$ | $-0.7 \%$ |

## Presenting Trip Distribution Results

- Thematic Map
- Useful for One Origin/ Destination to Many
- Color Ranges Should Make Sense / Have a Logic
- Magnitudes
- Standard Deviations
- Outliers
- Great for QA/ QC


Destinations, Color by Trips Attracted from a Zone

## Presenting Trip Distribution Results

■
Desire Lines

- Useful for One Origin/ Destination to Many
- Show Directionality and Magnitude
- Great for QA QC


Destinations, Trips Attracted to/from a Zone

## Presenting Trip Distribution Results

- District-to-district Tables
- Provide Technical Detail in an Understandable Format
- Useful for Area-to-area Flows


## NJRTM-E Trip Distribution Results

Home-Based Work Trips

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| State | New Jersey | New York |
|  |  |  |
| New Jersey | $1,000,000$ | 250,000 |
|  |  |  |
| New York | 100,000 | 100,000 |

## Understanding Mode Choice Results

- Thematic Map of Low Income HH
- Map of Transit Trip Ends


Low-income Transit Population Centers

## Understanding Mode Choice Results

- Pie Charts and Histograms
- Use Cube or ARCGIS for Spatial Placement
- Use Cube Reports or Excel for Single Chart



## Understanding Mode Choice Results

- District-to-district Tables by Mode

| HBWSOV | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 72.95 | 771.07 | 8.38 | 5.46 | 6.37 |
| 2 | 198.31 | 7582.2 | 260.38 | 5.99 | 7.75 |
| 3 | 33.88 | 1773.96 | 123.5 | 3.01 | 3.23 |
| 4 | 18.68 | 77.56 | 6.73 | 303.91 | 107.3 |
| 5 | 38.29 | 150.6 | 13.22 | 197.49 | 299.17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HBWHOV | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 3.21 | 36.58 | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.36 |
| 2 | 9.44 | 328.74 | 11.95 | 0.38 | 0.46 |
| 3 | 1.59 | 80.64 | 5.52 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 4 | 1.13 | 4.88 | 0.45 | 13.52 | 4.82 |
| 5 | 2.19 | 8.93 | 0.83 | 8.86 | 13.11 |

## Understanding Mode Choice Results

- Percent Walk Access Tables/ Maps



## Understanding Mode Choice Results

- Thematic Map of Trip Ends Served by Transit



## Understanding Mode Choice Results

- FTA SUMMIT

Application

- Convert Model Outputs to Summit-ready Inputs
- Executes Fixed Trip Table Mode Choice for Work and Non-work Trips
- Allows Mode Results to be Sent to SUMMIT Program for FTA Project Funding Requests



## Presenting Transit Assignment

- Simple Tables of Boardings, Passenger Miles and other statistics by line/ mode/ operator

Train Ridership Comparison (Section of Northeast Corridor)

| Station Name | Base | Scenario 3B | Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trenton | 13,127 | 13,130 | 1.00 |
| Hamiton | 8,779 | 8,822 | 1.00 |
| Princeton Junction | 16,716 | 17,165 | 1.03 |
| Jersey Ave | 5,343 | 5,307 | 0.99 |
| New Brunswick | 13,059 | 12,845 | 0.98 |
| Edison | 6,369 | 6,391 | 1.00 |
| Metuchen | 4,802 | 4,779 | 1.00 |
| Metropark | 12,369 | 12,427 | 1.00 |
| TOTAL | 80,564 | 80,866 | 1.00 |

## Presenting Transit Assignment

- Boardings and Alightings



## Presenting Highway Assignment

- Simple Bandwidths of volume
- Convey Maj or Flows
- Can be Annotated With Volumes
- Can be Combined with Other



## Presenting Highway Assignment

- Complex Bandwidths by SOV, HOV \& Truck



## Presenting Highway Assignment



Select-Link for I-78 Just West of I-287

## Presenting Highway Assignment

- Simple Systemwide Indicator Tables
- VMT
- VHT
- Hours of Delay
- Lane Miles by FT, Congestion, Travel Speed, etc


## Other Output Display Options

- Beyond the Graphical Display Features in CUBE 5.0, users can:
- Use of CUBE "Reports" Component to Summarize Data
- Convert Networks to Shape Files for Display in ARC Software
- Export Tabular Summary Data to EXCEL


## Scenario 1 Summary Tables

|  |  | Existing Development | Redevelopment Plan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Year 2020 Base | Scenario 1 |
| Total Productions |  | 46,659 | 82,189 |
| Non-Motorized | Trips | 7,820 | 17,860 |
|  | \% | 16.8\% | 21.7\% |
| Motorized | Productions | 38,857 | 64,139 |
|  | Attractions | 33,317 | 104,884 |
| Intra-Zonal(All Modes) | Trips | 12,186 | 28,662 |
|  | \% | 26.1\% | 34.9\% |
| Avg Trip Length (prod) | Peak Skim | 5.43 | 5.60 |
|  | Off-Peak Skim | 5.25 | 5.44 |
| Avg Trip Length (attr) | Peak Skim | 11.11 | 13.00 |
|  | Off-Peak Skim | 10.45 | 12.29 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Transit | Production | 7,986 | 11,598 |
|  | \% | 17.1\% | 14.1\% |
|  | Attraction | 1,712 | 6,839 |
|  | \% | 3.7\% | 8.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |
| Ridership | 33A1 | 326 | 4,711 |
|  | 99A1 | 3,113 | 13,058 |
|  | SAP12 | 14,752 | 14,329 |
|  | Total | 18,191 | 32,098 |

Note: Route SAP12 has no change to schedule.

## Presenting Highway Assignment

- Raw Model Volumes Map



## Scenario 1- Change in Traffic



## Scenario 1- Change in Peak Transit Trips



## Presenting Highway Assignment

- Turning Movement Diagrams
- Show Total Volumes (Raw)
- Show Volumes by Mode



## Scenario 2 Summary Tables

Transit Ridership Comparison

|  | Base | Scenario 2 | Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TB1A1 | 290 | 221 | 0.76 |
| TB3A1 | 374 | 286 | 0.76 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Train Stations |  |  |  |
| Gladstone | 1,675 | 1,462 | 0.87 |
| Peapack | 208 | 164 | 0.79 |
| Far Hills | 1,054 | 1,267 | 1.20 |
| Bernardsville | 953 | 1,194 | 1.25 |
| Basking Ridge | 483 | 562 | 1.16 |
| Lyons | 1,083 | 1,321 | 1.22 |
| Millington | 398 | 911 | 2.29 |
| Stirling | 439 | 554 | 1.26 |
| Gilette | 536 | 652 | 1.22 |
| Berkeley Heights | 1,774 | 2,206 | 1.24 |
| Murray Hill | 855 | 1,050 | 1.23 |
| New Providence | 1,736 | 2,066 | 1.19 |
| TOTAL | 11,858 | 13,916 | 1.17 |

## Presenting Highway Assignment

- Raw Model Volumes Map



## Scenario 2- Change in Traffic



## Scenario 2- Change in AM Peak Speed



## Scenario 3A- Raritan River Screenline



## Scenario 3A- Change in Traffic (Adj acent Bridges)

GSP

| SOV | SOV |  |  | HOV |  |  | HOV/SOV |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A |
|  | 52,691 | 50,336 | 0.96 | 13,099 | 12,635 | 0.96 | $24.9 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ |
| PM | 56,683 | 54,765 | 0.97 | 16,072 | 15,552 | 0.97 | $28.4 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ |
| OP | 108,549 | 108,611 | 1.00 | 38,661 | 38,619 | 1.00 | $35.6 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 217,923 | 213,713 | 0.98 | 67,833 | 66,807 | 0.98 | $31.1 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ |

## US 9

| SOV | SOV |  |  | HOV |  |  | HOV/SOV |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A |
| AM | 22,761 | 24,556 | 1.08 | 5,524 | 5,814 | 1.05 | $24.3 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ |
| PM | 23,256 | 24,472 | 1.05 | 5,922 | 6,312 | 1.07 | $25.5 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |
| OP | 25,486 | 25,472 | 1.00 | 9,048 | 9,044 | 1.00 | $35.5 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 71,502 | 74,500 | 1.04 | 20,494 | 21,170 | 1.03 | $28.7 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ |

## NJ-35

| SOV | SOV |  |  | HOV |  |  | HOV/SOV |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A |
| AM | 5,667 | 5,790 | 1.02 | 1,455 | 1,487 | 1.02 | $25.7 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ |
| PM | 8,366 | 8,629 | 1.03 | 2,378 | 2,340 | 0.98 | $28.4 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ |
| OP | 3,320 | 3,319 | 1.00 | 867 | 867 | 1.00 | $26.1 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 17,353 | 17,739 | 1.02 | 4,700 | 4,694 | 1.00 | $27.1 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ |

Question: What can we say about lack of diversion to NJ 35 Bridge?

## Scenario 3A- Change in Traffic (Up Stream Bridges)

NJTPK

| SOV | SOV |  |  | HOV |  |  | HOV/SOV |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Base |  |  | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio |
|  | Scenario 3A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM | 38,092 | 38,221 | 1.00 | 11,208 | 11,261 | 1.00 | $29.4 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ |
| PM | 43,918 | 44,411 | 1.01 | 13,198 | 13,313 | 1.01 | $30.1 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| OP | 64,178 | 64,178 | 1.00 | 23,167 | 23,166 | 1.00 | $36.1 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 146,188 | 146,809 | 1.00 | 47,573 | 47,740 | 1.00 | $32.5 \%$ | $32.5 \%$ |

Question: Did we
US-1

| SOV | SOV |  |  | HOV |  |  | HOV/SOV |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A |
|  | 20,958 | 21,083 | 1.01 | 3,537 | 3,568 | 1.01 | $16.9 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ |
| PM | 24,412 | 24,177 | 0.99 | 4,688 | 4,679 | 1.00 | $19.2 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ |
| OP | 35,343 | 35,344 | 1.00 | 8,668 | 8,667 | 1.00 | $24.5 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 80,712 | 80,604 | 1.00 | 16,893 | 16,914 | 1.00 | $20.9 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ |

NJ-27

|  | SOV |  |  | HOV |  |  | HOV/SOV |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | SOV |  |  | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A |
| Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM | 7,645 | 7,611 | 1.00 | 1,385 | 1,390 | 1.00 | $18.1 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ |
| PM | 8,301 | 8,304 | 1.00 | 1,843 | 1,847 | 1.00 | $22.2 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ |
| OP | 16,497 | 16,494 | 1.00 | 4,564 | 4,564 | 1.00 | $27.7 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 32,443 | 32,409 | 1.00 | 7,793 | 7,801 | 1.00 | $24.0 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ |

Total

| SOV | SOV |  |  | HOV |  |  | HOV/SOV |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A |
|  | 147,813 | 147,598 | 1.00 | 36,209 | 36,155 | 1.00 | $24.5 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| PM | 164,935 | 164,759 | 1.00 | 44,101 | 44,043 | 1.00 | $26.7 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| OP | 253,372 | 253,418 | 1.00 | 84,975 | 84,928 | 1.00 | $33.5 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 566,120 | 565,775 | 1.00 | 165,285 | 165,127 | 1.00 | $29.2 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ |

Case Study \#3A- Change in Traffic


## Scenario 3A- Change in Traffic (Inset)



## Scenario 3A- Change in AM Peak Speed

## Note:

AM peak speed reduced by 11 MPH for link with lane reduction.


## Scenario 3A Summary Tables

Person Trips By Mode

|  | Daily |  |  | Peak |  |  | Off-Peak |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mode | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio |
| SOV | $18,447,056$ | $18,447,402$ | $100.0 \%$ | $10,344,930$ | $10,345,288$ | $100.0 \%$ | $8,102,126$ | $8,102,113$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| HOV2 | $7,932,813$ | $7,932,767$ | $100.0 \%$ | $4,330,825$ | $4,330,785$ | $100.0 \%$ | $3,601,987$ | $3,601,982$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| HOV3 | $2,784,673$ | $2,784,604$ | $100.0 \%$ | $1,441,021$ | $1,440,954$ | $100.0 \%$ | $1,343,653$ | $1,343,649$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| HOV4 | $2,190,333$ | $2,190,220$ | $100.0 \%$ | $1,438,478$ | $1,438,366$ | $100.0 \%$ | 751,855 | 751,854 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Auto | $31,354,875$ | $31,354,992$ | $100.0 \%$ | $17,555,255$ | $17,555,393$ | $100.0 \%$ | $13,799,620$ | $13,799,599$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Wk-Rail | 106,765 | 106,755 | $100.0 \%$ | 77,420 | 77,409 | $100.0 \%$ | 29,345 | 29,345 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Wk-PATH | 217,315 | 217,136 | $99.9 \%$ | 152,677 | 152,501 | $99.9 \%$ | 64,638 | 64,635 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Wk-Bus | 544,446 | 544,478 | $100.0 \%$ | 350,686 | 350,693 | $100.0 \%$ | 193,760 | 193,785 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Wk-Ferry | 157,872 | 157,877 | $100.0 \%$ | 99,807 | 99,813 | $100.0 \%$ | 58,065 | 58,065 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Wk-LRT | 22,327 | 22,106 | $99.0 \%$ | 16,954 | 16,733 | $98.7 \%$ | 5,373 | 5,373 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Wk-Long Ferry | 154 | 154 | $100.0 \%$ | 154 | 154 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dr-Rail | 260,320 | 260,974 | $100.3 \%$ | 226,104 | 226,758 | $100.3 \%$ | 34,216 | 34,216 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Dr-PATH | 56,436 | 56,474 | $100.1 \%$ | 33,533 | 33,570 | $100.1 \%$ | 22,903 | 22,903 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Dr-Bus | 112,527 | 112,131 | $99.6 \%$ | 73,064 | 72,668 | $99.5 \%$ | 39,462 | 39,462 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Dr-Ferry | 44,446 | 44,388 | $99.9 \%$ | 28,392 | 28,334 | $99.8 \%$ | 16,054 | 16,054 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Dr-LRT | 5,480 | 5,491 | $100.2 \%$ | 4,320 | 4,331 | $100.3 \%$ | 1,160 | 1,160 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Dr-Long Ferry | 2,896 | 2,904 | $100.3 \%$ | 2,896 | 2,904 | $100.3 \%$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| Transit | $1,530,985$ | $1,530,868$ | $100.0 \%$ | $1,066,007$ | $1,065,869$ | $100.0 \%$ | 464,977 | 464,999 | $100.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $32,885,860$ | $32,885,860$ | $100.0 \%$ | $18,621,262$ | $18,621,262$ | $100.0 \%$ | $14,264,598$ | $14,264,598$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Scenario 3A Summary Tables

|  | Base | Scenario 3A | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bay Head | 885 | 889 | 1.00 |
| Pt Pleasant Beach | 2,376 | 2,372 | 1.00 |
| Manasquan | 1,811 | 1,814 | 1.00 |
| Spring Lake | 1,867 | 1,872 | 1.00 |
| Belmar | 1,083 | 1,086 | 1.00 |
| Bradley Beach | 1,799 | 1,804 | 1.00 |
| Asbury Park | 1,784 | 1,786 | 1.00 |
| Allenhurst | 294 | 294 | 1.00 |
| Elberon | 1,246 | 1,245 | 1.00 |
| SUBTOTAL | 13,145 | 13,162 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Long Branch | 4,592 | 4,598 | 1.00 |
| Little Silver | 4,615 | 4,603 | 1.00 |
| Red Bank | 4,340 | 4,337 | 1.00 |
| Middletown | 6,953 | 6,967 | 1.00 |
| Hazlet | 4,661 | 4,696 | 1.01 |
| Matawan | 16,378 | 17,047 | 1.04 |
| South Amboy | 4,914 | 5,813 | 1.18 |
| Perth Amboy | 3,123 | 3,125 | 1.00 |
| Woodbridge | 3,550 | 3,556 | 1.00 |
| Avenal | 486 | 489 | 1.01 |
| SUBTOTAL | 53,612 | 55,231 | 1.03 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 66,757 | 68,393 | 1.02 |

## Scenario 3A- Change in Peak Transit Trips (Out)

Note gains in transit trip ends south of the Raritan River and served by NJT Coastline and Bus Routes.


## Presenting Highway Assignment

- Raw Model Volumes Map



## Scenario 3B Summary Tables

Transit Ridership Comparison (Princeton Related)

|  | Base | Scenario 3B | Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 605A12R | 55 | 51 | 0.93 |
| 605A12 | 51 | 43 | 0.84 |
| SUX8AK1 | 2,389 | 2,391 | 1.00 |
| SUX8AK2 | 270 | 282 | 1.04 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 606A12 | 1,921 | 1,999 | 1.04 |
| 606B12 | 723 | 742 | 1.03 |
| 606A12R | 1,016 | 980 | 0.96 |
| 606B12R | 509 | 512 | 1.01 |
| SU4B1 | 220 | 224 | 1.02 |
| SU4D2 | 183 | 181 | 0.99 |
| SUPTD2 | 904 | 902 | 1.00 |
| SUPTD1 | 1,047 | 1,045 | 1.00 |
| SUPTA1 | 335 | 336 | 1.00 |
| Total | 9,623 | 9,688 | 1.01 |

## Scenario 3B Summary Tables

Train Ridership Comparison (Section of Northeast Corridor)

| Station Name | Base | Scenario 3B | Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trenton | 13,127 | 13,130 | 1.00 |
| Hamiton | 8,779 | 8,822 | 1.00 |
| Princeton Junction | 16,716 | 17,165 | 1.03 |
| Jersey Ave | 5,343 | 5,307 | 0.99 |
| New Brunswick | 13,059 | 12,845 | 0.98 |
| Edison | 6,369 | 6,391 | 1.00 |
| Metuchen | 4,802 | 4,779 | 1.00 |
| Metropark | 12,369 | 12,427 | 1.00 |
| TOTAL | 80,564 | 80,866 | 1.00 |

## Scenario 3B Summary Tables

## Person Trips By Mode

|  | Daily |  |  | Peak |  | Off-Peak |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mode | Base | Scenario 3B | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3B | Ratio | Base | Scenario 3B | Ratio |
| SOV | $18,447,056$ | $18,446,151$ | $100.0 \%$ | $10,344,930$ | $10,344,062$ | $100.0 \%$ | $8,102,126$ | $8,102,089$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| HOV2 | $7,932,813$ | $7,932,308$ | $100.0 \%$ | $4,330,825$ | $4,330,356$ | $100.0 \%$ | $3,601,987$ | $3,601,952$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| HOV3 | $2,784,673$ | $2,785,080$ | $100.0 \%$ | $1,441,021$ | $1,441,440$ | $100.0 \%$ | $1,343,653$ | $1,343,640$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| HOV4 | $2,190,333$ | $2,190,633$ | $100.0 \%$ | $1,438,478$ | $1,438,789$ | $100.0 \%$ | 751,855 | 751,844 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Auto | $31,354,875$ | $31,354,172$ | $100.0 \%$ | $17,555,255$ | $17,554,647$ | $100.0 \%$ | $13,799,620$ | $13,799,525$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Wk-Rail | 106,765 | 107,060 | $100.3 \%$ | 77,420 | 77,708 | $100.4 \%$ | 29,345 | 29,352 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Wk-PATH | 217,315 | 217,817 | $100.2 \%$ | 152,677 | 153,179 | $100.3 \%$ | 64,638 | 64,638 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Wk-Bus | 544,446 | 543,322 | $99.8 \%$ | 350,686 | 349,564 | $99.7 \%$ | 193,760 | 193,758 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Wk-Ferry | 157,872 | 158,115 | $100.2 \%$ | 99,807 | 100,051 | $100.2 \%$ | 58,065 | 58,065 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Wk-LRT | 22,327 | 22,971 | $102.9 \%$ | 16,954 | 17,598 | $103.8 \%$ | 5,373 | 5,373 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Wk-Long Ferry | 154 | 154 | $100.0 \%$ | 154 | 154 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dr-Rail | 260,320 | 261,535 | $100.5 \%$ | 226,104 | 227,211 | $100.5 \%$ | 34,216 | 34,324 | $100.3 \%$ |
| Dr-PATH | 56,436 | 54,909 | $97.3 \%$ | 33,533 | 32,022 | $95.5 \%$ | 22,903 | 22,886 | $99.9 \%$ |
| Dr-Bus | 112,527 | 112,975 | $100.4 \%$ | 73,064 | 73,513 | $100.6 \%$ | 39,462 | 39,462 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Dr-Ferry | 44,446 | 44,488 | $100.1 \%$ | 28,392 | 28,434 | $100.1 \%$ | 16,054 | 16,054 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Dr-LRT | 5,480 | 5,443 | $99.3 \%$ | 4,320 | 4,283 | $99.2 \%$ | 1,160 | 1,160 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Dr-Long Ferry | 2,896 | 2,898 | $100.1 \%$ | 2,896 | 2,898 | $100.1 \%$ |  | 0 | 0 |
| Transit | $1,530,985$ | $1,531,688$ | $100.0 \%$ | $1,066,007$ | $1,066,615$ | $100.1 \%$ | 464,977 | 465,073 | $100.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $32,885,860$ | $32,885,860$ | $100.0 \%$ | $18,621,262$ | $18,621,262$ | $100.0 \%$ | $14,264,598$ | $14,264,598$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Presenting Highway Assignment

- Raw Model Volumes Map



## Scenario 3B- Change in Traffic



## Scenario 3B- Difference In Travel Time



## Further Analysis

- Creating Subarea For Extraction
- Standard Support Application with Pre-defined Boundary Polygons by NJ TPA Subregion
- Need to Add Area from Adj acent County
- Execute Assignment Procedures to Create Subarea Trip Tables


## Standard Polygon for Middlesex County



## Extended Polygon to Include Princeton



## Group Discussion/ Exercise

- How Can We Present this Information Differently
- Scenario 1
- Scenario 2
- Scenario 3A
- Scenario 3B
- Think About All of The Ways We Have Learned
- What About Pie Charts?
- What About Histograms?
- What About Stacked Bars?
- What About Thematic Maps?
- Etc.


## Access to Documentation

- Users Guide is now on the NJ TPA Website which accessed via the following link:
http:// www. njtpa. org/ DataMap/ Perf/ Model/ default. aspx
- Model Development Report will be posted on the website when it is finalized.

Now it is Time for Q\&A

