
**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS: FY 2026 HUDSON COUNTY LOCAL COCEPT
DEVELOPMENT STUDY
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

Responses to Questions raised at the April 23, 2026 Pre-proposal Meeting, issued on April 28, 2026

A virtual pre-proposal meeting was held via zoom on April 23, 2026 at 2PM, to provide a general overview of the Request for Proposals (RFP) for the FY 2026 Hudson County Local Concept Development Study. The following are questions asked by meeting attendees and the NJTPA's official responses.

Question 1: Could you speak to how you're envisioning the level of effort for the coring and geotechnical investigation during LCD phase (Task 3.F)

Response 1: Consultant should be able to propose a coring program that will thoroughly evaluate the existing condition of the wall and the composition of each section of the wall.

Question 2: Could you please expand a bit on how the project limits are being defined in terms of the retaining walls? For example, is the focus primarily on walls directly supporting the roadway, or more broadly on all walls within the corridor including those that retain parkland improvements?

Response 2: Yes, the focus is primarily on the walls and should stay as close to within county and park right-of-way and try not to impact private property.

Question 3: Can you please provide some additional context on how you're envisioning the three (3) local officials meetings being structured across the four municipalities? Will these generally be combined meetings or more municipality-specific discussions?

Response 3: The meetings requirement in the RFP is a minimum requirement for public outreach. Meaning that at least 3 local officials' meetings, 3 stakeholders, and 2 public information centers must be held. The consultant should consider and evaluate community engagement for this project to efficiently maximize participation and outreach.

Question 4: Apart from what's included in Appendix J, should the awarded bidder expect any additional information (i.e. original construction drawings, rehabs, etc.) of the existing walls after the project is awarded?

Response 4: No, the recent repair recommendation report which is under development by the county will be made available to the selected consultant team.

Responses to Questions, issued on May 6, 2026

Question 5: Based on the discussion during the April 23rd pre-proposal meeting, our understanding is that the primary focus of this study is structural stabilization (retaining walls and slopes), and that broader corridor improvements, such as multimodal or Complete Streets elements along JFK Boulevard East, Anthony M. DeFino Way, and River Road, would be considered only where directly tied to impacts from the stabilization work. Please confirm if this understanding is correct.

There are three NBIS structures within the project limits (Structure Nos. 0900-005, 0900-035, and 0900-007). Can as-built plans, inspection reports, and related documentation be made available for review as part of this proposal phase? Additionally, please clarify how these structures are intended to be addressed within the scope of this study (e.g., included as part of the structural evaluation, or considered outside the primary scope of work).

Response 5: Yes, please refer to the response to question 2 for further clarification. This project does not entail any improvements to any structures within the project limits. Should structural reports for any structures within the project's limits be required, they'll be made available to the selected consultant team.