
**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS: FY 2026 OCEAN COUNTY LOCAL CONCEPT
DEVELOPMENT STUDY
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

Responses to Questions, issued on July 30, 2026

Question 1: Is there a list of eligible firms available for this project? We're just looking for an eligibility list so we can partner with those companies.

Response 1: For this contract, firms must be certified in disciplines as prescribed on page 20 of the RFP. The NJDOT's website provides further details on prequalification requirements and how to become prequalified in all disciplines required for this contract. For more information, please visit NJDOT's website at:
<http://www.state.nj.us/transportation/business/procurement/ProfServ/prequal.shtm>

Responses to Questions raised at the August 6, 2026 Pre-proposal Meeting, issued on August 11, 2026

A virtual pre-proposal meeting was held via zoom on August 6, 2026, at 2:00 PM to provide a general overview of the Request for Proposals (RFP) for the FY 2026 Ocean County Local Concept Development Study. The following are questions asked by meeting attendees and the NJTPA's official responses.

Question 2: Has there been any coordination to date with NJDOT on the three Route 70 intersections?

Response 2: Other than the Road Safety Audit that was performed by NJDOT, no additional coordination with NJDOT has been done.

Question 3: When will the presentation be posted to the website?

Response 3: The presentation will be posted by Monday, August 10th

Question 4: You mentioned HSM analyses. Does the consultant need to perform HSM analysis for each alternative?

Response 4: Yes, HSM analysis needs to be performed for each alternative and show on the AA matrix.

Question 5: With traffic volume data provided in Appendix J dating from 2024, is a completely new traffic data collection program anticipated for this effort, or should we utilize available data at locations it exists for?

Response 5: Yes, a new traffic data collection program is requested.

- Question 6:** What is the expected level of survey for this effort? Is full survey required or is detailed aerial imagery acceptable?
- Response 6:** Yes, detailed aerial survey imagery may be utilized for the project base mapping; however full topographic survey will be required for any areas requiring ADA or intersection related improvements to determine existing deficiencies in the project study area. If aerial imagery is utilized, any areas obscured in the imagery will also require full topographic survey to ensure accuracy of the mapping.
- Question 7:** Should traffic data collection be performed during the summer season?
- Response 7:** The data collection can be performed anytime of the year as long as the data obtained is reflective of typical conditions (i.e. not taken during holidays, detours, severe weather events, etc.).
- Question 8:** Does the County have traffic models available?
- Response 8:** The Ocean County Transportation Model was included in the RFP appendix J. Additional data is available from the model if necessary for the selected consultant.
- Question 9:** Should we include stream surveys for H&H?
- Response 9:** This may need to be considered due to waterway under Brick Blvd.

Responses to Questions, issued on August 20, 2026

- Question 10:** Would the agency accept the signature of an authorized representative instead of the CEO's signature on the proposal document?
- Response 10:** Yes. The proposal document may be signed by an authorized representative of the firm in lieu of the Chief Executive Officer.
- Question 11:** In our review of the insurance requirements, we want to raise a previously resolved concern from a prior contract, pertaining to adding NJTPA as added insured to the Professional Liability Insurance (PLI) and Cyber Liability certificates of insurance. In the case of PLI, firms would typically be unable to provide an additional insured endorsement on its PLI policy due to the “insured vs. insured” exclusion inherent in this type of coverage. For the Cyber Liability, including a client as an additional insured would require the insurer to underwrite and assess the client’s own cybersecurity posture, infrastructure, and risk exposure—something that is outside the scope of a first-party policy. On our prior contract these changes were accepted, and we want to confirm that will be the case for this contract.

Response 11: The "additional insured" requirement shall apply to each applicable policy of required insurance, as specified in the RFP, except for Professional Liability, Cyber Liability, and Workers' Compensation.

Question 12: Per the RFP Cost Basis approval is noted for the prime and subconsultants. It is also noted that all entities submitted as part of the technical proposal that will not render or offer "professional services" do not require Cost Basis approval. If we intend to include a firm that would not perform professional services, can they be included as subconsultant or should they be labelled differently (e.g., as a Team member), but not as a subconsultant since they are not cost basis approved?

Response 12: Yes, a firm not rendering or offering "professional services" as describe under N.J.S.A. 45:8-28(b) may be included as a subconsultant. Consistent with 2 CFR Part 200, including §§ 200.1 and 200.331, the substance of the relationship will govern the appropriate classification. The proposal must clearly identify the entity's role and describe the services it will perform in a manner that accurately reflects its classification as a vendor or project team member/subconsultant.